

## **NOVEMBER 8, 2016 BALLOT MEASURES: ACSA SUPPORT**

### **Proposition 51**

**Initiative: School Bonds. Funding for K-12 School and Community College Facilities. Initiative Statute.**

**Summary:** Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K–12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion to pay off both the principal (\$9 billion) and interest (\$8.6 billion) on the bonds. Payments of about \$500 million per year for 35 years

**Support:** Coalition for Adequate School Housing, California Building Industry Association Issues Committee, Community College Facility Coalition

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Support):** \$5,053,078

**Opposition:** None

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Opposition):** 0

### **Proposition 55**

**Initiative: Tax Extension To Fund Education And Healthcare. Initiatives Constitutional Amendment.**

**Summary:** Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K–12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues—\$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019–2030—depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments.

**Support:** California Association of Hospitals and Health Systems (CAHHS), California Teachers Association (CTA), PACE of California School Employees Association

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Support):** \$46,011,378

**Opposition:** Sen. John Moorlach, California Republican Party, California Chamber of Commerce, California Taxpayer Association

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Opposition):** 0

### **Proposition 58**

**Initiative: English Proficient. Multilingual Education. Initiative Statute**

**Summary:** Preserves requirement that public schools ensure students obtain English language proficiency. Requires school districts to solicit parent/community input in developing language acquisition programs. Requires instruction to ensure English acquisition as rapidly and effectively as possible. Authorizes school districts to establish dual-language immersion programs for both native and non-native English speakers. Fiscal Impact: No notable fiscal effect on school districts or state government.

**Support:** California School Boards Association, California Teachers Association, Ed Trust West

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Support):** \$814,658

**Opposition:** California Republican Party

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Opposition):** 0

## **NOVEMBER 8, 2016 BALLOT MEASURES: ACSA NEUTRAL**

### **Proposition 52**

**Initiative: State Fees on Hospitals. Federal Medi-Cal Matching Funds. Initiative Statutory and Constitutional Amendment**

**Summary:** Increases required vote to two-thirds for the Legislature to amend a certain existing law that imposes fees on hospitals (for purpose of obtaining federal Medi-Cal matching funds) and directs those fees and federal matching funds to hospital-provided Medi-Cal health care services, to uncompensated care provided by hospitals to uninsured patients, and to children's health coverage

**Support:** California Hospital Association, California Medical Association, California Labor Federation, California Democratic Party, California Republican Party, California Chamber of Commerce.

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Support):** \$40,030,980

**Opposition:** Service Employees International Union, United Health Care Workers West (SEIU-UHW), Californians for Hospital Accountability and Quality Care

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Opposition):** \$11,562,866

### **Proposition 53**

**Initiative: Revenue Bonds. Statewide Voter Approval. Initiative Constitutional Amendment**

**Summary:** 'The No Blank Checks Campaign' aims to close government loopholes that allow politicians to issue new revenue bond debt for projects over \$2 billion. 'No Blank Checks' will ensure that Californians have the right to vote on large government projects. This measure would force the government to approach voters about adding new, large programs. If passed, voters would have a say in all major state bond-funded projects that the state wishes to pursue.

**Support:** Dean and Joan Cortopassi (California Republican Party)

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Support):** \$4,571,069

**Opposition:** Governor Jerry Brown, California Chamber of Commerce, State Building and Construction Trades Council, California Construction Industry Labor Management Cooperation Trust

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Opposition):** \$1,775,000

### **Proposition 54**

**Initiative: Legislature. Legislation and Proceedings. Initiative Constitutional Amendment and Statute.**

**Summary:** Prohibits Legislature from passing any bill unless published on Internet for 72 hours before vote. Requires Legislature to record its proceedings and post on Internet. Proposition 54 would amend Article IV, Section 7 of the California Constitution Authorizes use of recordings. Fiscal Impact: One-time costs of \$1 million to \$2 million and

ongoing costs of about \$1 million annually to record legislative meetings and make videos of those meetings available on the Internet

**Support:** Charles T. Munger with all contributions for Prop 54 (Yes on 54 – Voters First, Not Special Interests)

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Support):** \$9,028,719

**Opposition:** None

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Opposition):** 0

### **Proposition 56**

**Initiative: Cigarette Tax to Fund Healthcare, Tobacco Use Prevention, Research, and Law Enforcement. Initiative Constitutional Amendment and Statute**

**Summary:** Increases cigarette tax by \$2 per pack, with equivalent increase on other tobacco products and electronic cigarettes containing nicotine. Fiscal Impact: Additional net state revenue of \$1 billion to \$1.4 billion in 2017-18, with potentially lower revenues in future years. Revenues would be used primarily to augment spending on health care for low-income Californians with 13 percent going towards treatment and youth prevention.

**Support:** CA Hospitals Committee On Issues, CA State Council of Service Employees Issues Committee, California Kids Campaign, American Cancer Society

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Support):** \$19,786,944

**Opposition:** California Republican Party (Stop the Special Interest Tax Grab), California Peace and Freedom Party, California Taxpayers Association, Phillip Morris USA Inc.

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Opposition):** \$56,048,214

### **Proposition 57**

**Initiative: Criminal Sentences. Juvenile Criminal Proceedings and Sentencing. Initiative Constitutional Amendment and Statute.**

**Summary:** The California Parole for Non-Violent Criminals and Juvenile Court Trial Requirements Initiative would increase parole chances for felons convicted of non-violent crimes and give them more opportunities to earn credits for good behavior. It would also allow judges, not prosecutors, to decide whether to try certain juveniles as adults in court.

**Support:** Californians For Public Safety and Rehabilitation, Million Voter Project Action Fund, Civic Participation Action Fund, California Calls Action Fund

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Support):** \$7,411,232

**Opposition:** 0

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Opposition):** 0

### **Proposition 59**

**Initiative: Corporations. Political Spending. Federal Constitutional Protections. Legislative Advisory Question.**

**Summary:** Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in *Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission*. Citizens United ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional. This bill would declare that it is to take effect immediately as an act calling an election. No direct Fiscal Impact.

**Support:** The California Democratic Party, California Labor Federation, California Clean Money Campaign, U.S. Sen. Bernie Sanders, California Common Cause, California Teachers Association

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Support):** \$77,928

**Opposition:** Sen. Ted Gaines, Assemblymember Travis Allen, Sen. Jim Nielsen, Rep. Young Kim, Rep. Rocky Chavez

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Opposition):** 0

### **Proposition 60**

**Initiative: Adult Films. Condoms. Health Requirements. Initiative Statute.**

**Summary:** Requires adult film performers to use condoms during filming of sexual intercourse. Requires producers to pay for performer vaccinations, testing, and medical examinations. Requires producers to post condom requirement at film sites. Fiscal Impact: Likely reduction of state and local tax revenues of several million dollars annually. Increased state spending that could exceed \$1 million annually on regulation, partially offset by new fees.

**Support:** For Adult Industry Responsibility (FAIR), AIDS Healthcare Foundation, American Sexual Health Association

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Support):** \$2,557,071

**Opposition:** Coalition Against Worker Harassment, California Democratic Party, California Republican Party, California Libertarian Party

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Opposition):** \$102,150

### **Proposition 61**

**State Prescription Drug Purchases. Pricing Standards. Initiative Statute.**

**Summary:** Prohibits state from buying any prescription drug from a drug manufacturer at price over lowest price paid for the drug by United States Department of Veterans Affairs. Exempts managed care programs funded through Medi-Cal. Fiscal Impact: Potential for state savings of an unknown amount depending on (1) how the measure's implementation challenges are addressed and (2) the responses of drug manufacturers regarding the provision and pricing of their drugs.

**Support:** American Association of Retired Person (AARP) California, California Nurses Association (CNA), Sen. Bernie Sanders

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Support):** \$9,428,535

**Opposition:** Pharmaceutical research and Manufacturers of America (PhRMA), California Medical Association, California Psychiatric Association

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Opposition):** \$53,938,000

### **Proposition 62**

**Initiative: Death Penalty. Initiative Statute**

**Summary:** Repeals death penalty and replaces it with life imprisonment without possibility of parole. Applies retroactively to existing death sentences. Increases the portion of life inmates' wages that may be applied to victim restitution. Fiscal Impact: Net ongoing reduction in state and county criminal justice costs of around \$150 million annually within a few years, although the impact could vary by tens of millions of dollars depending on various factors.

**Support:** Lt. Gov. Gavin Newsom, California Democratic Party, NAACP California, California Labor Federation

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Support):** \$3,650,000

**Opposition:** California District Attorneys Association, California State Sheriffs' Association

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Opposition):** \$2,287,311

### **Proposition 63**

**Initiative: Firearms. Ammunition Sales. Initiative Statute**

**Summary:** Requires background check and Department of Justice authorization to purchase ammunition. Prohibits possession of large-capacity ammunition magazines. Establishes procedures for enforcing laws prohibiting firearm possession by specified persons. Requires Department of Justice's participation in federal National Instant Criminal Background Check System. Fiscal Impact: Increased state and local court and law enforcement costs, potentially in the tens of millions of dollars annually, related to a new court process for removing firearms from prohibited persons after they are convicted.

**Support:** California Democratic Party, U.S. Senator Dianne Feinstein, US Senator Barbara Boxer California Federation of Teachers, SEIU California

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Support):** \$3,469,668

**Opposition:** California Republican Party, National Rifle Association, California Correctional Peace Officers Association (CCPOA)

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Opposition):** \$425,986

### **Proposition 64**

**Initiative: Marijuana Legalization. Initiative Statute.**

**Summary:** Legalizes marijuana and hemp under state law, for use by adults 21 or older. Designates state agencies to license and regulate marijuana industry. Imposes state excise tax on retail sales of marijuana equal to 15% of sales price, and state cultivation taxes on marijuana of \$9.25 per ounce of flowers and \$2.75 per ounce of leaves. Exempts medical marijuana from some taxation. Establishes packaging, labeling, advertising, and marketing standards and restrictions for marijuana products. Allows local regulation and taxation of marijuana. Prohibits marketing and advertising marijuana to minors. Authorizes resentencing and destruction of records for prior marijuana convictions.

**Support:** 2016 Democratic presidential candidate Bernie Sanders, Lt. Gov. Gavin Newsom, The California Medical Association (CMA)

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Support):** \$ 20,166,106

**Opposition:** Rep. Jim Cooper, Sen. Cathleen Galgiani, California Hospital Association

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Opposition):** \$1,364,000

### **Proposition 65**

**Initiative: Carryout Bags. Charges. Initiative**

**Summary:** Redirects money collected by grocery and certain other retail stores through mandated sale of carryout bags. Requires stores to deposit bag sale proceeds into a

special fund to support specified environmental projects. Fiscal Impact: Potential state revenue of several tens of millions of dollars annually under certain circumstances, with the monies used to support certain environmental program.

**Support:** Thomas Hudson—California Taxpayer Protection Committee Executive Director, Deborah Howard—California Senior Advocates League Executive Director

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Support):** \$6,127,883

**Opposition:** California Republican Party, League of Women Voters, Mark Murray—Californians Against Waste Executive Director

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Opposition):** 0

### **Proposition 66**

**Initiative: Death Penalty. Procedures. Initiative Statute**

**Summary:** Changes procedures governing state court challenges to death sentences. Designates superior court for initial petitions and limits successive petitions. Requires appointed attorneys who take noncapital appeals to accept death penalty appeals. Exempts prison officials from existing regulation process for developing execution methods. Fiscal Impact: Unknown ongoing impact on state court costs for processing legal challenges to death sentences. Potential prison savings in the tens of millions of dollars annually.

**Support:** California Republican Party, California State Sheriffs' Association, California District Attorneys Association, The Criminal Justice Legal Foundation

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Support):** \$2,287,311

**Opposition:** California Democratic Party, Lt. Gov. Gavin Newsom, SEIU California, California Labor Federation, California Federation of Teachers, California NAACP

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Opposition):** \$443,362

### **Proposition 67**

**Initiative: Ban On Single-Use Plastic Bags. Referendum.**

**Summary:** A "Yes" vote approves and a "No" vote rejects a statute that prohibits grocery and other stores from providing customers single-use plastic or paper carryout bags but permits sale of recycled paper bags and reusable bags. Fiscal Impact: Relatively small fiscal effects on state and local governments, including a minor increase in state administrative costs and possible minor local government savings from reduced litter and waste management costs.

**Support:** Lt. Gov. Gavin Newsom, California Democratic Party, The Monterey Bay Aquarium, California State Association of Counties

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Support):** \$1,847,605

**Opposition:** City of Laguna Niguel, California Manufacture's and Technology Association, Association of California Cities—Orange County

**Top Aggregated Contributions (Opposition):** \$6,127,883